

PrEP use in young African women in HPTN 082: Effect of drug level feedback

Connie Celum, Nyaradzo Mgodi, Linda-Gail Bekker, Sybil Hosek, Deborah Donnell, Pete Anderson, Bonnie Dye, Subash Pathak, Yaw Agyei, Jessica Fogel, Mark Marzinke, Keo Makgamathe, Sheetal Kassim, Shorai Mukaka, Heather Noble, Adeola Adeyeye, Sinead Delany-Moretlwe on behalf of the HPTN 082 Study Team





Background

- HIV incidence of 4-6% among young African women in recent HIV prevention trials
- PrEP is highly effective when taken with good, but not, perfect adherence
- PrEP use was low (20-25%) in FEM-PrEP and VOICE trials
- Qualitative research among former VOICE participants indicated drug level feedback could foster more honest discussion about PrEP adherence
- Given the need for primary HIV prevention among young African women and the high effectiveness of PrEP, it is important to assess the effectiveness of PrEP adherence support strategies





Primary Objectives of HPTN 082

- To assess the proportion and characteristics of young HIV-uninfected women who accept versus decline PrEP.
- To assess the difference in PrEP adherence in young women randomized to enhanced adherence support (using drug level feedback) versus standard of care adherence support.





HPTN 082: Evaluation of daily oral PrEP as a primary prevention strategy for young African women



Uninfected women Ages 16-25 yrs

Johannesburg & Cape Town, South Africa Harare, Zimbabwe

Target Enrollment

- 400 women who accept PrEP at enrollment
- ≤ 200 women who decline PrEP at enrollment

<u>Eligibility criteria</u>: Sexually active in past month; VOICE risk score <u>></u>5; interest in PrEP; access to mobile phone; hepatitis B seronegative





Standard adherence support in HPTN 082

- Weekly two way SMS in first 3 months
- Monthly adherence clubs
 - Peer support
 - Address concerns & share experiences about PrEP
 - Problem-solve adherence challenges
- Brief counseling at visits: Months 1,2, 3, 6, 9 and 12
- Discrete pill containers









Drug level feedback at months 2 and 3

- Women randomized to enhanced counseling have DBS TFV-DP levels obtained at months 1 and 2.
 - Results given at next visit (month 2 and 3)
- DBS are a measure of average adherence in prior month
- Counseling messages for <u>></u>4 doses/week (green), 1-3 doses/week (yellow) and below detection (red)
 - Lower thresholds used at month 1 before TDF-DP levels reached steady-state

Sample Month	Results Month	Threshold	Counseling Message
Month 1	Month 2	≥500 fmol/punch	4 or more doses per week (>500 fmol/punch at wk 4 and >700 fmol/punch at wk 8) Key message. You are doing great! Keep up the good work
Month 2	Month 3	≥700 fmol/punch	and remember that taking one PrEP pill every day is needed for strong protection against HIV.
Month 1	Month 2	16.6-499 fmol/punch	~1-3 doses per week (between detectable – 499 fmol/punct at wk 4 and detectable to 699 fmol/punch at wk 8) Key message: It looks like you are trying to take the PrEP
Month 2	Month 3	16.6 - 699 fmol/punch	medication, but are having some difficulties. Remember that taking one pill every day is needed for strong protection against HIV. How can we help you do even better?
Month 1	Month 2	BLQ (<16.6 fmol/punch)	No TFV-DP detected (below quantification of 16.6 fmol/punch) Key message. It looks like you haven't been able to take the
Month 2	Month 3	BLQ (<16.6 fmol/punch)	PrEP medication. Is PrEP something that you are still interested in? If yes, how can we help you?

Anderson P et al. TFV-DP in DBS: DOT-DBS Study. CROI 2017 Anderson P et al Sci Transl Med 2012 Grant R et al Lancet Infect Dis 2014



Analysis: Definitions and methods

- <u>Primary adherence outcome</u>: TFV-DP <u>></u>700 fmol/punch at 6 months
- <u>Predictors of high adherence at 6 months</u> (TFV-DP <a>>700 fmol/punch)
 - Logistic regression, adjusted for site
- <u>Persistence</u>: Detectable TFV-DP at 3, 6 & 12 months









Demographics & Sexual Partner Characteristics

Baseline characteristic	Standard Adherence Support* N=212	Enhanced Adherence Support* N=215
Age (years) median (IQR)	21 (19, 23)	21 (19, 22)
Education		
Secondary school or higher	184 (98%)	187 (98%)
CES-D depression score <u>></u> 10	126 (59%)	133 (62%)
Any intimate partner violence, past year	100 (48%)	116 (54%)
Trauma symptoms	137 (65%)	152 (71%)
Primary sex partner in past 3 months	174 (83%)	182 (85%)
HIV status of primary partner		
HIV negative	112 (79%)	97 (68%)
HIV positive	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Does not know	27 (19%)	42 (30%)
		HPT

282



Sexual behavior, risk perception, & PrEP

	Standard Adherence Support*	Enhanced Adherence Support*
Baseline characteristic	N=212	N=215
Thinks partner has other partners		
Yes	54 (31%)	62 (34%)
Don't know	74 (43%)	94 (52%)
Vaginal sex past month (median, IQR)	4 (2,8)	4 (2,8)
Condoms with vaginal sex, past mo		
Always or often	60 (36%)	36 (28%)
Curable STI CT, GC, trichomonas, syphilis	80 (38%)	87 (40%)
Perceived risk of HIV, next year		
None	50%	44%
Small	27%	35%
Moderate	9%	8%
Great	9%	7%
Plan to disclose PrEP use	80 (38%)	87 (40%)

782

HERS



Tenofovir levels at 3, 6, & 12 months

	3 months	6 months	12 months
Tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP), DBS	N=371	N=363	N=347
Detectable	83.6%	56.5%	31.4%
2700 fmol/punch* among those with detectable TFV-DP	24.8%	20.9%	8.6%

* TFV-DP \geq 700 fmol/punch was associated with 100% efficacy among MSM in the iPrEX OLE study & the 25th percentile of 4 doses/week on average (Grant Lancet HIV 2014)





Tenofovir levels at 3, 6, & 12 months

	3 months	6 months	12 months
Tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP), DBS	N=371	N=363	N=347
Detectable	83.6%	56.5%	31.4%
2700 fmol/punch* among those with detectable TFV-DP	24.8%	20.9%	8.6%
Plasma tenofovir	N=380	N=370	N=363
Detectable	64.8%	46.8%	25.3%
>40 ng/ml**	48.4%	38.4%	17.4%

* TFV-DP <u>></u>700 fmol/punch was associated with 100% efficacy among MSM in the iPrEX OLE study & the 25th percentile of 4 doses/week on average (Grant Lancet HIV 2014)

** Plasma tenofovir >40 ng/ml associated with daily use and efficacy among women in Partners PrEP (Donnell JAIDS 2014)





Effect of drug level feedback on adherence (TFV-DP >700 fmol/p) at 6 months

	Standard adherence support TFV-DP <u>></u> 700 fmol/punch)	Enhanced adherence support TFV-DP <u>></u> 700 fmol/punch)	Difference in proportion with TFV-DP <u>></u> 700 fmol/punch	95% CI	P-value
Intent to treat	40/184 (21.7%)	36/179 (20.1%)	-1.6%	-9.9%, 6.7%	0.7





Effect of drug level feedback on adherence (TFV-DP >700 fmol/p) at 6 months

	Standard adherence support TFV-DP≥700 fmol/punch	Enhanced adherence support TFV-DP <u>></u> 700 fmol/punch	Difference in proportion with TFV-DP <u>≥</u> 700 fmol/punch	95% CI	P-value
		into/parton	intel/punch		I -value
Intent to treat	40/184 (21.7%)	36/179 (20.1%)	-1.6%	-9.9%, 6.7%	0.7
Per protocol analysis*	40/181 (22.1%)	17/115 (14.8%)	-7.3%	-15.7%, 2.5%	0.2

* Per protocol analysis excluded women who:

- were not receiving PrEP due to a clinical or laboratory hold
- did not receive drug level feedback because DBS results were not available at next visit, or
- received drug level counselling that did not correspond to the appropriate category based on actual DBS drug levels





PrEP adherence & persistence, by arm



HPT



Challenges of retrospective drug level feedback



HPT



Correlates of high adherence at 6 months

Coveriete	Univariate Odds Ratio	Multivariate Odds Ratio	Multivariate P-
Covariate	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	values
Perceived risk of HIV (any vs none)	1.9 (1.1, 3.2)	2.4 (1.2, 4.5)	0.008
PrEP readiness score (per unit increase)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)	0.004
Disclosed to someone about PrEP use	3.3 (1.2, 8.8)	3.0 (1.0, 9.1)	0.06
Number of sexual partners, past 3 months	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)	1.3 (1.0, 1.6)	0.07
Participant ever dropped out of school	1.8 (1.0, 13.1)	2.0 (1.0, 14.1)	0.07
Adherence club participation (per club attendance)	1.7 (1.2, 2.3)	1.3 (1.0, 1.8)	0.10





HIV seroconversions

- Four HIV seroconverters (at months 3, 6, and two at 9) observed in 404 person-years of follow-up
- HIV incidence of 1.0/100 person-years (95% CI 0.3-2.5)
- 2 had undetectable DBS TFV-DP concentrations and 2 detectable but low concentrations (74 and 243 fmol/punch) in the visit at or prior to when they were first detected HIV seropositive
- Three had no resistance mutations & one had D67N (NRTI mutation) and four NNRTI mutations (K101E, K103N, E138A, and G109A)
 - No resistance mutations associated with TDF or FTC





HPTN 082: Summary

- Very high PrEP uptake (95%) among young women who were at risk for HIV, a majority of whom took PrEP in the first 6 months
- No effect of drug level feedback on proportions with detectable TFV-DP or high adherence by arm at 6 months
 - Challenges in operationalizing DBS drug level feedback and counseling about adherence levels 1-2 months ago
 - Research is needed to determine effective adherence support to sustain use including POC adherence assays (eg., urine TFV)
- Women who perceived themselves to be at risk of HIV and were motivated to use PrEP (HPRM score) had higher adherence at 6 months





HPTN 082 Summary (2)

- Adherence declined significantly after month 3
 - Associated with change to quarterly visits, similar to other PrEP studies in youth (ATN 110/113, PlusPills)
- Low HIV incidence (1%) given risk profile of this cohort;
 - Counterfactual HIV incidence of 3.7% based on modeling (Moore CROI 2019)
 - Will assess whether low incidence was due to higher adherence during periods of risk ("prevention-effective adherence")
- Longer-acting PrEP & choice of options will likely increase uptake, adherence & persistence (i.e., effective coverage)



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- The youth CABs for their engagement, ideas and feedback
- The young women who participated in HPTN082
- HPTN 082 team with special thanks to the site teams at Emavundleni (Cape Town), Spilhaus (Harare) and Ward 21 (Johannesburg)





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Youth community advisory boards, participants, and HPTN 082 team













ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The HIV Prevention Trials Network is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (UM1AI068619, UM1AI068613, UM1AI1068617), with cofunding from the National Institute of Mental Health, and the National Institute on Drug Abuse, all components of the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

Gilead Sciences for donation of Truvada for the study

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

